

FOR TEACHERS ONLY

The University of the State of New York
REGENTS HIGH SCHOOL EXAMINATION

ALGEBRA I (Common Core)

Thursday, January 26, 2017 — 1:15 to 4:15 p.m., only

SCORING KEY AND RATING GUIDE

Mechanics of Rating

The following procedures are to be followed for scoring student answer papers for the Regents Examination in Algebra I (Common Core). More detailed information about scoring is provided in the publication *Information Booklet for Scoring the Regents Examination in Algebra I (Common Core)*.

Do *not* attempt to correct the student's work by making insertions or changes of any kind. In scoring the constructed-response questions, use check marks to indicate student errors. Unless otherwise specified, mathematically correct variations in the answers will be allowed. Units need not be given when the wording of the questions allows such omissions.

Each student's answer paper is to be scored by a minimum of three mathematics teachers. No one teacher is to score more than approximately one-third of the constructed-response questions on a student's paper. Teachers may not score their own students' answer papers. On the student's separate answer sheet, for each question, record the number of credits earned and the teacher's assigned rater/scorer letter.

Schools are not permitted to rescore any of the open-ended questions on this exam after each question has been rated once, regardless of the final exam score. Schools are required to ensure that the raw scores have been added correctly and that the resulting scale score has been determined accurately.

Raters should record the student's scores for all questions and the total raw score on the student's separate answer sheet. Then the student's total raw score should be converted to a scale score by using the conversion chart that will be posted on the Department's web site at: <http://www.p12.nysed.gov/assessment/> by Thursday, January 26, 2017. Because scale scores corresponding to raw scores in the conversion chart may change from one administration to another, it is crucial that, for each administration, the conversion chart provided for that administration be used to determine the student's final score. The student's scale score should be entered in the box provided on the student's separate answer sheet. The scale score is the student's final examination score.

If the student's responses for the multiple-choice questions are being hand scored prior to being scanned, the scorer must be careful not to make any marks on the answer sheet except to record the scores in the designated score boxes. Marks elsewhere on the answer sheet will interfere with the accuracy of the scanning.

Part I

Allow a total of 48 credits, 2 credits for each of the following.

(1) 2	(9) 2	(17) 2
(2) 3	(10) 1	(18) 4
(3) 4	(11) 3	(19) 4
(4) 3	(12) 1	(20) 4
(5) 2	(13) 2	(21) 1
(6) 4	(14) 2	(22) 1
(7) 4	(15) 4	(23) 2
(8) 1	(16) 4	(24) 3

Updated information regarding the rating of this examination may be posted on the New York State Education Department's web site during the rating period. Check this web site at: <http://www.p12.nysed.gov/assessment/> and select the link "Scoring Information" for any recently posted information regarding this examination. This site should be checked before the rating process for this examination begins and several times throughout the Regents Examination period.

The Department is providing supplemental scoring guidance, the "Model Response Set," for the Regents Examination in Algebra I (Common Core). This guidance is recommended to be part of the scorer training. Schools are encouraged to incorporate the Model Response Sets into the scorer training or to use them as additional information during scoring. While not reflective of all scenarios, the model responses selected for the Model Response Set illustrate how less common student responses to constructed-response questions may be scored. The Model Response Set will be available on the Department's web site at <http://www.nysedregents.org/algebraone/>.

General Rules for Applying Mathematics Rubrics

I. General Principles for Rating

The rubrics for the constructed-response questions on the Regents Examination in Algebra I (Common Core) are designed to provide a systematic, consistent method for awarding credit. The rubrics are not to be considered all-inclusive; it is impossible to anticipate all the different methods that students might use to solve a given problem. Each response must be rated carefully using the teacher's professional judgment and knowledge of mathematics; all calculations must be checked. The specific rubrics for each question must be applied consistently to all responses. In cases that are not specifically addressed in the rubrics, raters must follow the general rating guidelines in the publication *Information Booklet for Scoring the Regents Examination in Algebra I (Common Core)*, use their own professional judgment, confer with other mathematics teachers, and/or contact the State Education Department for guidance. During each Regents Examination administration period, rating questions may be referred directly to the Education Department. The contact numbers are sent to all schools before each administration period.

II. Full-Credit Responses

A full-credit response provides a complete and correct answer to all parts of the question. Sufficient work is shown to enable the rater to determine how the student arrived at the correct answer.

When the rubric for the full-credit response includes one or more examples of an acceptable method for solving the question (usually introduced by the phrase “such as”), it does not mean that there are no additional acceptable methods of arriving at the correct answer. Unless otherwise specified, mathematically correct alternative solutions should be awarded credit. The only exceptions are those questions that specify the type of solution that must be used; e.g., an algebraic solution or a graphic solution. A correct solution using a method other than the one specified is awarded half the credit of a correct solution using the specified method.

III. Appropriate Work

Full-Credit Responses: The directions in the examination booklet for all the constructed-response questions state: “Clearly indicate the necessary steps, including appropriate formula substitutions, diagrams, graphs, charts, etc.” The student has the responsibility of providing the correct answer **and** showing how that answer was obtained. The student must “construct” the response; the teacher should not have to search through a group of seemingly random calculations scribbled on the student paper to ascertain what method the student may have used.

Responses With Errors: Rubrics that state “Appropriate work is shown, but...” are intended to be used with solutions that show an essentially complete response to the question but contain certain types of errors, whether computational, rounding, graphing, or conceptual. If the response is incomplete; i.e., an equation is written but not solved or an equation is solved but not all of the parts of the question are answered, appropriate work has **not** been shown. Other rubrics address incomplete responses.

IV. Multiple Errors

Computational Errors, Graphing Errors, and Rounding Errors: Each of these types of errors results in a 1-credit deduction. Any combination of two of these types of errors results in a 2-credit deduction. No more than 2 credits should be deducted for such mechanical errors in a 4-credit question and no more than 3 credits should be deducted in a 6-credit question. The teacher must carefully review the student's work to determine what errors were made and what type of errors they were.

Conceptual Errors: A conceptual error involves a more serious lack of knowledge or procedure. Examples of conceptual errors include using the incorrect formula for the area of a figure, choosing the incorrect trigonometric function, or multiplying the exponents instead of adding them when multiplying terms with exponents.

If a response shows repeated occurrences of the same conceptual error, the student should not be penalized twice. If the same conceptual error is repeated in responses to other questions, credit should be deducted in each response.

For 4- and 6-credit questions, if a response shows one conceptual error and one computational, graphing, or rounding error, the teacher must award credit that takes into account both errors. Refer to the rubric for specific scoring guidelines.

Part II

For each question, use the specific criteria to award a maximum of 2 credits. Unless otherwise specified, mathematically correct alternative solutions should be awarded appropriate credit.

(25) [2] No, and a correct explanation is written.

[1] Appropriate work is shown, but one computational error is made.

or

[1] Appropriate work is shown, but one conceptual error is made.

or

[1] No, but an incomplete explanation is written.

[0] No is stated, but the explanation is missing.

or

[0] A zero response is completely incorrect, irrelevant, or incoherent or is a correct response that was obtained by an obviously incorrect procedure.

(26) [2] 3.5, and a correct justification is given.

[1] Appropriate work is shown, but one computational or rounding error is made.

or

[1] Appropriate work is shown, but one conceptual error is made.

or

[1] 3.5, but no work is shown.

[0] A zero response is completely incorrect, irrelevant, or incoherent or is a correct response that was obtained by an obviously incorrect procedure.

(27) [2] $y \geq .25$, and correct work is shown.

[1] Appropriate work is shown, but one computational error is made.

or

[1] Appropriate work is shown, but one conceptual error is made.

or

[1] $y \geq .25$, but no work is shown.

[0] A zero response is completely incorrect, irrelevant, or incoherent or is a correct response that was obtained by an obviously incorrect procedure.

(28) [2] No, and a correct explanation is written.

[1] Appropriate work is shown, but one computational error is made.

or

[1] Appropriate work is shown, but one conceptual error is made.

or

[1] No, but the explanation is incomplete.

or

[1] No and $\frac{7 + 18\sqrt{5}}{21}$ are written, but the explanation is missing or incorrect.

[0] No is stated, but the explanation is missing.

or

[0] A zero response is completely incorrect, irrelevant, or incoherent or is a correct response that was obtained by an obviously incorrect procedure.

(29) [2] The inequality is graphed correctly, and correct coordinates are stated.

[1] Appropriate work is shown, but one graphing error is made.

or

[1] Appropriate work is shown, but one conceptual error is made.

or

[1] The inequality is graphed correctly, but the coordinates are missing or incorrect.

or

[1] The coordinates of a point in the solution set are stated and checked, but no graph is drawn.

[0] A zero response is completely incorrect, irrelevant, or incoherent or is a correct response that was obtained by an obviously incorrect procedure.

(30) [2] $C = 1.29 + .99(s - 1)$ or $C = .99s + .30$, and a justification indicating she is not correct is given.

[1] Appropriate work is shown, but one computational error is made.

or

[1] Appropriate work is shown, but one conceptual error is made.

or

[1] A correct equation is written, but no further correct work is shown.

or

[1] Appropriate work is shown, but the equation is not written in terms of C and s .

or

[1] A justification indicating she is not correct is given, but no further correct work is shown.

[0] No is stated, but no further correct work is shown.

or

[0] A zero response is completely incorrect, irrelevant, or incoherent or is a correct response that was obtained by an obviously incorrect procedure.

(31) [2] 68 mph, and correct work is shown.

[1] Appropriate work is shown, but one computational error is made.

or

[1] Appropriate work is shown, but one conceptual error is made.

or

[1] Appropriate work is shown to find 68, but the units are not labeled.

or

[1] 68 mph, but no work is shown.

[0] A zero response is completely incorrect, irrelevant, or incoherent or is a correct response that was obtained by an obviously incorrect procedure.

(32) [2] Neither, and a correct justification is given.

[1] Appropriate work is shown, but one conceptual error is made.

or

[1] Neither, but an incomplete justification is given.

[0] Neither is stated, but no further correct work is shown.

or

[0] A zero response is completely incorrect, irrelevant, or incoherent or is a correct response that was obtained by an obviously incorrect procedure.

Part III

For each question, use the specific criteria to award a maximum of 4 credits. Unless otherwise specified, mathematically correct alternative solutions should be awarded appropriate credit.

(33) [4] Correct graphs are drawn, and a correct explanation, based on the graph, indicating they are equal is written.

[3] Appropriate work is shown, but one graphing error is made.

or

[3] Both graphs are drawn correctly, but the explanation is missing or incorrect.

[2] Appropriate work is shown, but two or more graphing errors are made.

or

[2] Appropriate work is shown, but one conceptual error is made.

or

[2] The parabola is graphed correctly, but no further correct work is shown.

[1] Appropriate work is shown, but one conceptual error and one graphing error are made.

or

[1] Yes is stated, and an appropriate algebraic justification is given, but no further correct work is shown.

or

[1] The absolute value function is graphed correctly, but no further correct work is shown.

[0] Yes is stated, but no further correct work is shown.

or

[0] A zero response is completely incorrect, irrelevant, or incoherent or is a correct response that was obtained by an obviously incorrect procedure.

- (34) [4] A correct system of equations is written, 12.05, and correct algebraic work is shown.
- [3] Appropriate work is shown, but one computational error is made.
- or*
- [3] Appropriate work is shown to find 1.95, the price of a soda, but no further correct work is shown.
- [2] Appropriate work is shown, but two or more computational errors are made.
- or*
- [2] Appropriate work is shown, but one conceptual error is made.
- or*
- [2] A correct system of equations is written, but no further correct work is shown.
- or*
- [2] 12.05, but a method other than algebraic is used.
- [1] Appropriate work is shown, but one conceptual error and one computational error are made.
- or*
- [1] One equation is written correctly, but no further correct work is shown.
- or*
- [1] 12.05, but no work is shown.
- [0] A zero response is completely incorrect, irrelevant, or incoherent or is a correct response that was obtained by an obviously incorrect procedure.

- (35) [4] $f(x) = .75x + 4.5$, and correct explanations are written.
- [3] Appropriate work is shown, but one computational error is made.
- or**
- [3] Appropriate work is shown, but one explanation is missing or incorrect.
- or**
- [3] Appropriate work is shown, but the equation is not written in terms of $f(x)$.
- or**
- [3] Appropriate work is shown, but the explanations are incomplete.
- [2] Appropriate work is shown, but two or more computational errors are made.
- or**
- [2] Appropriate work is shown, but one conceptual error is made.
- or**
- [2] A correct equation in terms of $f(x)$ is written, but no further correct work is shown.
- or**
- [2] Correct explanations are written, but the equation is missing or incorrect.
- [1] Appropriate work is shown, but one conceptual error and one computational error are made.
- or**
- [1] The expression $.75x + 4.5$ is written, but no further correct work is shown.
- [0] A zero response is completely incorrect, irrelevant, or incoherent or is a correct response that was obtained by an obviously incorrect procedure.

- (36) [4] A correct graph is drawn, $(2.5, 55)$, and a correct explanation, which includes time and height, is written.
- [3] Appropriate work is shown, but one graphing error is made.
- or*
- [3] Appropriate work is shown, but the explanation is missing or incorrect.
- [2] Appropriate work is shown, but two or more graphing errors are made.
- or*
- [2] Appropriate work is shown, but one conceptual error is made.
- or*
- [2] A correct graph is drawn, but no further correct work is shown.
- or*
- [2] $(2.5, 55)$, and a correct explanation is written, but no further correct work is shown.
- [1] Appropriate work is shown, but one conceptual error and one graphing error are made.
- or*
- [1] $(2.5, 55)$, but no further correct work is shown.
- or*
- [1] A correct explanation is written, but no further correct work is shown.
- [0] A zero response is completely incorrect, irrelevant, or incoherent or is a correct response that was obtained by an obviously incorrect procedure.
-

Part IV

For this question, use the specific criteria to award a maximum of 6 credits. Unless otherwise specified, mathematically correct alternative solutions should be awarded appropriate credit.

(37) [6] A correct equation is written and solved algebraically, 10, 400, and a correct explanation stating that Ian is not correct is given.

[5] Appropriate work is shown, but one computational error is made.

or

[5] Appropriate work is shown, but the explanation is incomplete.

[4] Appropriate work is shown, but two computational errors are made.

or

[4] Appropriate work is shown, but one conceptual error is made.

or

[4] Appropriate work is shown to find 10 and 400, but no further correct work is shown.

or

[4] Appropriate work is shown, but the explanation is missing or incorrect.

[3] Appropriate work is shown, but three or more computational errors are made.

or

[3] Appropriate work is shown to find either 10 or 400, but no further correct work is shown.

or

[3] Appropriate work is shown, but one conceptual error and one computational error are made.

[2] Appropriate work is shown, but one conceptual error and two or more computational errors are made.

or

[2] Appropriate work is shown, but two conceptual errors are made.

or

[2] A correct equation is written, but no further correct work is shown.

or

[2] Appropriate work is shown to determine that Ian is incorrect, and a correct explanation is given, but no further correct work is shown.

[1] Appropriate work is shown, but two conceptual errors and one computational error are made.

or

[1] Two correct individual equations are written for Ian and Ken, but no further correct work is shown.

or

[1] 10 and 400, but no work is shown.

[0] Ian is incorrect, but no work is shown.

or

[0] A zero response is completely incorrect, irrelevant, or incoherent or is a correct response that was obtained by an obviously incorrect procedure.

**Map to the Common Core Learning Standards
Algebra I (Common Core)
January 2017**

Question	Type	Credits	Cluster
1	Multiple Choice	2	A-SSE.A
2	Multiple Choice	2	A-SSE.B
3	Multiple Choice	2	S-ID.C
4	Multiple Choice	2	A-CED.A
5	Multiple Choice	2	S-ID.B
6	Multiple Choice	2	A-APR.B
7	Multiple Choice	2	A-APR.A
8	Multiple Choice	2	F-IF.A
9	Multiple Choice	2	A-SSE.A
10	Multiple Choice	2	F-IF.A
11	Multiple Choice	2	F-LE.A
12	Multiple Choice	2	F-IF.C
13	Multiple Choice	2	S-ID.C
14	Multiple Choice	2	A-SSE.B
15	Multiple Choice	2	A-REI.B
16	Multiple Choice	2	A-REI.D
17	Multiple Choice	2	F-BF.B
18	Multiple Choice	2	F-BF.A
19	Multiple Choice	2	F-IF.B
20	Multiple Choice	2	S-ID.A

21	Multiple Choice	2	F-IF.B
22	Multiple Choice	2	A-REI.B
23	Multiple Choice	2	F-IF.C
24	Multiple Choice	2	F-LE.B
25	Constructed Response	2	A-REI.C
26	Constructed Response	2	N-Q.A
27	Constructed Response	2	A-REI.B
28	Constructed Response	2	N-RN.B
29	Constructed Response	2	A-REI.D
30	Constructed Response	2	A-CED.A
31	Constructed Response	2	F-IF.B
32	Constructed Response	2	F-IF.A
33	Constructed Response	4	A-REI.D
34	Constructed Response	4	A-CED.A
35	Constructed Response	4	A-REI.D
36	Constructed Response	4	F-IF.B
37	Constructed Response	6	A-CED.A

Regents Examination in Algebra I (Common Core)

January 2017

Chart for Converting Total Test Raw Scores to Final Examination Scores (Scale Scores)

The *Chart for Determining the Final Examination Score for the January 2017 Regents Examination in Algebra I (Common Core)* will be posted on the Department's web site at: <http://www.p12.nysed.gov/assessment/> by Thursday, January 26, 2017. Conversion charts provided for previous administrations of the Regents Examination in Algebra I (Common Core) must NOT be used to determine students' final scores for this administration.

Online Submission of Teacher Evaluations of the Test to the Department

Suggestions and feedback from teachers provide an important contribution to the test development process. The Department provides an online evaluation form for State assessments. It contains spaces for teachers to respond to several specific questions and to make suggestions. Instructions for completing the evaluation form are as follows:

1. Go to <http://www.forms2.nysed.gov/emsc/osa/exameval/reexameval.cfm>.
2. Select the test title.
3. Complete the required demographic fields.
4. Complete each evaluation question and provide comments in the space provided.
5. Click the SUBMIT button at the bottom of the page to submit the completed form.